



***Core Competence Conference on Knowledge, Skill and Perspective  
Development***

***Addl. District Judges (LAC & Probate) [Half of the total strength  
General)]***

***21<sup>st</sup> and 22<sup>nd</sup> August, 2020***

***Venue: Delhi Judicial Academy, Dwarka, Sector - 14,***

***New Delhi - 110078***

*For Private and Internal Circulation only*



## INDEX

### A. STATUTES

S. No.	Title
1.	Code of Civil Procedure, 1908
2.	Constitution of India, 1950
3.	Court Fees Act, 1870
4.	Indian Evidence Act, 1872
5.	Indian Succession (Amendment) Act, 2002
6.	Indian Succession Act, 1925
7.	Land Acquisition Act, 1894
8.	Limitation Act, 1963
9.	Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013
10.	Specific Relief Act, 1963

### B. BILLS & RULES

S. No.	Title
1.	High Court Commercial Division Procedure Rules, 2012
2.	Probate, Administration and Succession Certificates, Chapter 6 Delhi High Court Rules
3.	Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement (SIA Consent) Rules, 2014.

### C. LAW COMMISSION REPORTS

S. No.	Title	Source
1.	247 <sup>th</sup> Law Commission Report, Sections 41 to 48 of the Indian Succession Act, 1925 – Proposed Reforms	<a href="http://lawcommissionofindia.nic.in/reports/Report247.pdf">http://lawcommissionofindia.nic.in/reports/Report247.pdf</a>
2.	240 <sup>th</sup> Law Commission Report on Costs in Litigation(May, 2012)	<a href="http://lawcommissionofindia.nic.in/reports/report240.pdf">http://lawcommissionofindia.nic.in/reports/report240.pdf</a>
3.	209 <sup>th</sup> Law Commission Report, Proposal for omission of Section 213 from the Indian Succession Act, 1925.	<a href="http://lawcommissionofindia.nic.in/reports/report209.pdf">http://lawcommissionofindia.nic.in/reports/report209.pdf</a>
4.	110 <sup>th</sup> Law Commission Report, The Indian succession Act 1925, 1985	<a href="http://lawcommissionofindia.nic.in/101-169/Report110.pdf">http://lawcommissionofindia.nic.in/101-169/Report110.pdf</a>



## D. CASE LAWS

### 1. Grant of Probate and Letters of Administration

S. No.	Title	Citation
<b>A.</b>	<b>Applicability of provision - Sections 57 and 213 of Indian Succession Act, 1925</b>	
	<b>Supreme Court</b>	
1.	<i>Kanta Yadav v. Om Prakash Yadav and Ors.</i> (¶¶6,12-13)	AIR2019SC5556
2.	<i>Illachi Devi (D) by Lrs. v. Jain Society, Protection of Orphans India</i> (¶¶48-55)	AIR 2003 SC 3397
3.	<i>Clarence Pais v. Union of India</i> (¶¶6-8)	AIR 2001 SC 1151
<b>B.</b>	<b>Implied Renunciation of Executorships</b>	
	<b>Supreme Court</b>	
1.	<i>Samir Chandra Das v. Bibhas Chandra Das</i> (¶¶9-10,19)	AIR 2010 SC 1962
<b>C.</b>	<b>Issue of Ownership/Title not to be looked into by the Probate Court</b>	
	<b>Supreme Court</b>	
1.	<i>Pasupati Nath Das (Dead) v. Chanchal Kumar Das (Dead) by L.Rs. and Ors.</i> (¶¶12-13)	2018(13) SCALE 486
2.	<i>Krishna KumarBirla v. Rajendra Singh Lodha and Ors.</i> (¶¶57, 66-67)	(2008) 4 SCC 300
3.	<i>ChiranjilalShrilalGoanka v. Jasjit Singh</i> (¶¶15-20)	(1993) 2 SCC 507
4.	<i>IshwardeoNarain Singh v. Kamta Devi and Ors.</i> (¶2)	AIR 1954 SC 280
	<b>Delhi High Court</b>	
1.	<i>Gurmeet Singh Chopra v. Taruna Chopra and Ors.</i> (¶¶4,5)	2010 SCC OnLine Del 1271
2.	<i>TalatParveenNaqvi v. DDA</i> (¶3)	163 (2009) DLT 622
	<b>Other High Court</b>	
1.	<i>Ramchandra GanpatraoHande alias Handege v. VithalraoHande</i> (¶¶5-7)	2011SCC OnLine Bom 440
<b>D.</b>	<b>Probate v Civil Suit</b>	
	<b>Supreme Court</b>	
1.	<i>Kanwarjit Singh Dhillon v Hardyal Singh Dhillon</i> (¶¶9-12)	(2007) 11 SCC 357



	<b>High Court of Delhi</b>	
1.	<i>Amiteshwar Singh and Ors. v. Kamal Nain</i> (¶¶7-10)	TR. P. (C.) 161/2019 Dated:12.03.2020
<b>E.</b>	<b>Limitation for filing Probate</b>	
	<b>Supreme Court</b>	
1.	<i>Sameer Kapoor and Ors. v. The State and Ors</i> (¶¶9,17-18)	CA No. 10482 of 2013 Dated:29.04.2019
2.	<i>Lynette Fernandes v. Gertie Mathias since Deceased by L.Rs.</i> (¶13)	AIR 2017 SC 5453
3.	<i>Krishna Kumar v. Rajesh Kumar Sharma</i> (¶9)	AIR 2009 SC 3247
4.	<i>Kunvarjeet Singh Khandpur v. Kirandeep Kaur</i> (¶¶15-18)	AIR 2008 SC 2058
	<b>Delhi High Court</b>	
1.	<i>Mukesh v. State and Ors.</i> (¶¶3-4,6)	FAO No. 466/2016 Dated: 24.05.2017
2.	<i>Amit and Ors. v. State of Nct of Delhi and Ors.</i> (¶4-5)	244(2017)DLT202
3.	<i>Basant Dayal v. State &amp;Ors.</i> (¶14)	2010 VII AD (Delhi)
	<b>Other High Court</b>	
1.	<i>Hanuman Prasad Agarwal and Ors. v. Satyanarain Agarwal and Ors.</i> (¶¶6-18)	G.A. No. 990 of 2018 Dated:11.06.2020 (CALHC)
2.	<i>Arun Kumar Agrawal v. Anil Agrawal</i> (¶¶4,8-9)	CMA 117 of 2018 Dated:28.09.2018 (PAT HC)
<b>F.</b>	<b>Limitation for Cancellation of Probate/Letters of Administration</b>	
	<b>Supreme Court</b>	
1.	<i>Ramesh Nivrutti Bhagwat v. Surendra Manohar Parakhe</i> (¶¶10-17)	AIR2019SC4948
<b>G.</b>	<b>Revocation of Probate</b>	
<b>a)</b>	<b>Effect of revocation of the probate</b>	
	<b>Supreme Court</b>	
1.	<i>Basanti Devi v. Raviprakash Ramprasad Jaiswal</i> (¶¶17-22)	AIR 2008 SC 295
2.	<i>Crystal Developers v. Smt. Asha Lata Ghosh (Dead) through LR</i> (¶¶26-28,50,51)	AIR 2004 SC 4980
<b>b)</b>	<b>Grounds For Revocation Of Probate</b>	



<b>i.</b>	<b>Absence of Citation of Application</b>	
	<b>Other High Courts</b>	
1.	<i>Pooja Deepak Patil v. Savita Vasant Rao Patil</i> (¶¶119,137,167)	2018 SCC OnLine Bom 3879
2.	<i>Ganesh Ramchandra Kadam v. Vijay Chandrakant Kadam</i> (¶6)	2017 SCC OnLine Bom 6417
<b>ii.</b>	<b>Fraud, Fabrication or Concealment- ‘Just Cause’</b>	
	<b>Other High Court</b>	
1.	<i>Pooja Deepak Patil v. Savita Vasant Rao Patil</i> (¶¶136,154-158)	2018 SCC OnLine Bom 3879
<b>H.</b>	<b>No Mediation or Settlement in Probate Proceedings</b>	
	<b>Supreme Court</b>	
1.	<i>Afcons Infrastructure Ltd. v. Cherian Varkey Construction Co. Pvt. Ltd</i> (¶18)	2010 (5) AWC 5409 (SC)
	<b>Other High Court</b>	
1.	<i>Sisir Kumar Chandra v. Manorma Chandra</i> (¶16)	AIR 1972 Cal 283
<b>I.</b>	<b>Revocation of Succession Certificate</b>	
	<b>Supreme Court</b>	
1.	<i>Joseph EaswaranWapshare v. Shirley Katheleen Wheeler</i> (¶¶12-13)	(2019) 5 SCC 58
<b>J.</b>	<b>Probate Against Interest Of The Minor</b>	
1.	<i>Azimunnisa Begum v. Sirdar Ali Khan</i> (¶1)	AIR 1927 Bob. 387
<b>K.</b>	<b>Refusal of Letters of Administration/ Probate</b>	
	<b>Supreme Court</b>	
1.	<i>Anil Kak v. Kumari Sharada Raje</i> (¶¶41-42)	AIR 2008 SC 2195
<b>L.</b>	<b>Proving of Will</b>	
	<b>Supreme Court</b>	
1.	<i>Balathandayutham and Ors. v. Ezhilarasan</i> (¶¶9,13)	2010 (3) SCALE 769
2.	<i>JayantilalPopat v. PragnabenJamnadasKataria</i> (¶¶11,20)	(2008) 15 SCC365
3.	<i>Savithri v. KarthyayaniAmma</i> (¶16)	(2007) 11 SCC 621



4.	<i>Niranjan Umeshchandra Joshi v. Mrudula Jyoti Rao and Ors.</i> (¶¶18-22)	(2006 )13SCC 433
5.	<i>Janki Narayan Bhoir v. Narayan Namdeo Kadam</i> (¶¶8-12)	AIR 2003 SC 761
6.	<i>Madhukar D. Shende v. Tarabai Aba Shedage</i> (¶¶8-9)	(2002) 2 SCC 85
7.	<i>H. Venkatachala Iyengar v. B.N. Thimmajamma and Ors.</i> (¶23)	AIR 1959 SC 443
	<b>Delhi High Court</b>	
1.	<i>Sanjeev Juneja v. State</i> (¶¶17-27,34-41)	AIR 2018 Del 79
2.	<i>Ajay Kumar v. The State and Ors.</i> (¶¶4-5,7)	242(2017) DLT 135
3.	<i>Budh Singh v. Raghbir Singh and Ors.</i> (¶¶19-21,24,29,32)	2015 SCC OnLine Del 14528
4.	<i>Vijay Kumar Tiwari v. State</i> (¶21)	(2008) 105 DRJ 524
5.	<i>VirBhan Chaudhary v. State</i> (¶¶7-8)	47(2008) DLT 321
	<b>Other High Court</b>	
1.	<i>OrugantiRamanaiah v. NannapuneniGangadhara Rao</i> (¶20)	2018 SCC OnLineHyd 375
<b>M.</b>	<b>Presumption Regarding Documents Thirty Years Old does not Apply to Will</b>	
	<b>Supreme Court</b>	
1.	<i>Bharpur Singh v. Shmeshher Singh</i> (¶¶14,24)	(2009) 3 SCC 687
2.	<i>M.B. Ramesh (Dead) by L.Rs. v. K.M. VeerajeUrs (Dead) by L.Rs. and Others</i> (¶¶17-18)	(2013) 7 SCC 490
<b>N.</b>	<b>Registration of Will</b>	
	<b>Supreme Court</b>	
1.	<i>Thulasidhara and Ors. v. Narayanappa and Ors.</i> (¶¶9.4-9.5)	CA 784/2010 Dated: 01.05.2019
2.	<i>Bhagat Ram v. Suresh</i> (¶¶18-24)	AIR 2004 SC 43
3.	<i>IshwardeoNarain Singh v. Kamta Devi and Ors.</i> (¶4)	AIR 1954 SC 280
<b>O.</b>	<b>Construction of Will</b>	
	<b>Delhi High Court</b>	
1.	<i>Maj. Gen. Rajinder Singh Chowdhary (Retd.) v. S. Manjit Singh Chowdhary</i> (¶19)	2003 SCC OnLine Del 390



<b>P.</b>	<b>Suspicious Circumstances Surrounding The Will</b>	
	<b>Supreme Court</b>	
1.	<i>Jarnail Singh and Ors. v. Bhagwanti (D) thr. L.Rs. and Ors.</i> (¶¶16,18-20)	2019 (1) RCR (Civil) 153
2.	<i>LeelaRajagopal&amp;Ors. v. Kamala Menon Cocharan</i> (¶¶11-13)	AIR 2015 SC 107
3.	<i>Sridevi v. Jayaraja Shetty</i> (¶¶14-18)	(2005) 2 SCC 784
4.	<i>Pentakota Satyanarayana v. PentakotaSeetharatnam</i> (¶¶22, 24)	(2005) 8 SCC 67
5.	<i>RamabaiPadmakarPatil v. Rukminibai Vishnu</i> (¶¶6-10)	(2003) 8 SCC 537
6.	<i>Indu Bala Bose and Ors. v. Manindra Chandra Bose</i> (¶8)	AIR 1982 SC 133
7.	<i>Shashi Kumar Banerjee v. Subodh Kumar Banerjee</i> (¶4)	AIR 1964 SC 529
8.	<i>Rabindra Nath Mukherjee v. Panchanan Banerjee</i> (¶7)	AIR 1995 SC 1684
	<b>Delhi High Court</b>	
1.	<i>Jai Singh v. State</i> (¶¶8,21,23,26 29-31)	Test. CAS. 2 OBJ/2003 Dared:13.05.2019
2.	<i>C S Aggarwal v. State</i> (¶70)	259 (2019) DLT 113
3.	<i>Usha Jain. v. Snehalata Kumudini Barnabas</i> (¶¶35-43)	CS (OS) 2186/2011 Datede: 29.04.2019
4.	<i>Satya Pal Sobti v. Achraj Nath.</i> (¶¶18,19)	2018 SCC OnLine Del 9091
5.	<i>Hari Singh v. State &amp;Anr.</i> (¶26)	176 (2011) DLT 199 (DB)
6.	<i>Yashoda Gupta v. Suniti Goyal and Ors.</i> (¶¶96-118)	AIR 2002 Delhi 20
<b>Q.</b>	<b>Scribe can be an Attesting Witness</b>	
	<b>Supreme Court</b>	
1.	<i>Ram Niwas Rana and Ors v. Anil Kumar &amp;Ors</i> (¶17)	2014 (144) DRJ 186
2.	<i>Savithri v. KarthyayaniAmmu</i> (¶¶17-21)	(2007) 11 SCC 621
3.	<i>Mathew Oommen v. Suseela Mathew</i> (¶8)	(2006) 1 SCC 519
<b>R.</b>	<b>Criminal Offence of Forgery for Setting of Fake Wills</b>	
	<b>Supreme Court</b>	
1.	<i>Syed Askari Hadi Ali Augustine Imam v. State (Delhi Admn.)</i> (¶¶10-15)	AIR 2009 SC 3232
	<b>Delhi High Court</b>	
1.	<i>C S Aggarwal v. State</i> (¶¶82-86,88,98-101)	259 (2019) DLT 113



2.	<i>Sanjeev Kumar Mittal v. The State</i> (¶11)	2011(121)DRJ328
<b>S.</b>	<b>Administration and Surety Bonds</b>	
	<b>Delhi High Court</b>	
1.	<i>Shambu P. Jaisinghani v. KanayaLall</i> (¶¶7-9)	60 (1995) DLT 1
<b>T.</b>	<b>Bank Lockers</b>	
	<b>Other High Court</b>	
1.	<i>Sharda Chopra v. State Bank of India</i> (MP HC)	1996 M.P.L.J. 1001

## 2. Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013

S. No.	Title	Citation
<b>A.</b>	<b>Compensation u/s 24(1)</b>	
	<b>Supreme Court</b>	
1.	<i>Aligarh Development Authority v Megha Singh</i> (¶¶3-7)	(2017) 1 SCC (Civ) 512
	<b>Other High Courts</b>	
1.	<i>Jairam Gangaram Burke and Ors v The State of Maharashtra and Ors.</i> (¶¶7,10)	2017(5) MhLJ 354 BOM HC
<b>B.</b>	<b>Suits /Writ Petitions for Declarations in Terms of Section 24(2)</b>	
	<b>Supreme Court</b>	
1.	<i>Indore Development Authority v Shyam Verma</i> (¶¶9-10)	(2018) 3 SCC 405
2.	<i>State of Telangana and Ors. v. D. Mahesh Kumar and Ors.</i> (¶¶7,10-11, 18,26)	(2018) 15 SCC 703
3.	<i>Indore Development Authority v.Shailendra(Dead) throughLR's and Others</i> (¶¶24-50, 63, 89, 111-153)	(2018) 2 SCALE 1
4.	<i>Sree Balaji Nagar Residential Association v State of Tamil Nadu</i> (¶13)	(2015)3 SCC 353
5.	<i>Pune Municipal Corporation &amp;Anotherv.Harakch and Misrimal Solanki</i> (¶¶ 8-18, 20-21)	(2014) 3 SCC 183





	<b>Other High Courts</b>	
1.	<i>Sushila Bai and Ors.v State of M.P. and Ors.</i> (¶29)	2018(I) MPJR (SC ) 197 MP HC
2.	<i>Sunil Kumar and Ors. v. State of U.P. and Ors.</i> (¶¶19-20)	2018 (130) ALR 329 ALL HC
<b>C.</b>	<b>Proviso is to Section 24(1) or 24(2) of the Act?</b>	
	<b>Supreme Court</b>	
1.	<i>Indore Development Authority v. Manoharlal and Ors.</i>	2020(2)JLJ75
2.	<i>Delhi Development Authority v. Virender Lal Bahri and Ors.</i> (¶¶10-17)	2019(3) SCALE 853
<b>D.</b>	<b>Execution of Old Matters</b>	
	<b>Supreme Court</b>	
1.	<i>The Special Land Acquisition Officer, Kiadb, Mysore and Ors.vAnasuya Bai (D) by L.Rs. and Ors.</i> (¶¶21-22, 25, 27-28)	AIR 2017 SC 904
<b>E.</b>	<b>Social Impact Assessment</b>	
	<b>Other High Courts</b>	
1.	<i>State of Andhra Pradesh and Ors. v. MummaneniVenkataramudu and Ors.</i> (¶¶29, 35, 44,55)	2018(1) ALT 572 HYD HC
<b>F.</b>	<b>Consent</b>	
	<b>Other High Courts</b>	
1.	<i>State of Andhra Pradesh and Ors. v. MummaneniVenkataramudu and Ors.</i> (¶6)	2018(1) ALT 572 HYD HC

### 3. Land Acquisition Act, 1894

Land Acquisition Act, 1894		
S. No.	Title	Citation
<b>A.</b>	<b>Acquisition Proceedings</b>	
	<b>Supreme Court</b>	
1.	<i>Kedar Nath Yadav v.State of West Bengal</i> (¶¶ 17-18, 58-61, 78, 83-90, 96-97, 107-142, 145-161)	AIR 2016 SC 4156



2.	<i>State of Uttarakhand v. Rajiv Berry</i> (¶¶ 14-16)	AIR 2016 SC 3685
3.	<i>Banda Development Authority, Banda v. Moti Lal Agarwal</i> (¶¶ 16-24, 28-37)	(2011) 5 SCC 394
4.	<i>R. Indira Saratchandrav. State of Tamil Nadu</i> (¶¶ 9-10, 12)	(2011) 10 SCC 344
5.	<i>Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Ltd. v. Darius Shapur Chenai</i> (¶¶ 7-15, 17, 18-19, 25-26)	(2005) 7 SCC 627
6.	<i>Madhya Pradesh Housing Board v. Mohd. Shafi</i> (¶¶ 7-8, 17-19)	(1992) 2 SCC 168
7.	<i>State of Punjab v. Gurdial Singh</i> (¶¶ 7-10)	(1980) 2 SCC 471
	<b>Delhi High Court</b>	
1.	<i>Sarita Gupta v. MCD</i> (¶¶ 10, 62)	2019 SCC OnLine Del 10123
2.	<i>Santosh Singh v. Union of India</i> (¶¶ 8-12)	2014 (146) DRJ 682
3.	<i>Gujrat NRE Coke Limited and Another v. Gregarious Estates Incorporated &amp; Others</i> (¶ 30)	2012 Indlaw CAL 1672 (Cal.)
<b>B.</b>	<b>Notification and Declaration Under the Act (Section 4 and 6 of the Act)</b>	
	<b>Supreme Court</b>	
1.	<i>Jaggiwan Coop. Group Housing Society Ltd. and Ors. v. Lt. Governor, NCT of Delhi and Ors.</i> (¶¶ 1-5)	263(2019) DLT 620 (SC)
2.	<i>Meena Devi Jindal Medical Institute and Research Centre v. Governor, Delhi</i> (¶¶ 11-16)	AIR 2017 SC 2606
3.	<i>Ram Chand and Ors. v. Union of India (UOI)</i> (¶¶ 24-27)	(1994) 1 SCC 44
4.	<i>State of Madhya Pradesh. v Vishnu Prasad Sharma</i> (¶¶ 17-22)	AIR 1966 SC 1593
<b>C.</b>	<b>Declaration in case of emergency under Section 17 of the Act</b>	
	<b>Supreme Court</b>	
1.	<i>Laxmi Devi v. State of Bihar</i> (¶ 14)	AIR 2015 SC 2710
<b>D.</b>	<b>Exclusion of Stay Period in Computing the Statutory Period of Two Years in Passing of an Award under Section 11 of the Act</b>	
1.	<i>The State of Maharashtra and Ors. v. Moti Ratan Estate</i> (¶¶ 7.8, 8-9)	AIR 2019 SC 4149
<b>E.</b>	<b>Review of Award</b>	
	<b>Supreme Court</b>	



1.	<i>Naresh Kumar v. Government( NCT of Delhi) (¶¶8-14)</i>	(2019) 9 SCC 416
<b>F.</b>	<b>Limitation under Section 18(2) of the Act</b>	
	<b>Supreme Court</b>	
1.	<i>Officer on Special Duty (Land Acquisition) and Ors. v. Shah ManilalChandulal and Ors. (¶¶17-19)</i>	(1996) 9 SCC 414
<b>G.</b>	<b>Objection to Compensation granted by Collector</b>	
	<b>Supreme Court</b>	
1.	<i>Union of India v. Shiv Raj (¶¶ 6-17, 20, 22-29)</i>	(2014) 6 SCC 564
2.	<i>Kashmir Singh v. State of Haryana (¶¶ 6-7, 9, 10-11)</i>	(2014) 2 SCC 165
<b>H.</b>	<b>Notice to Interested Person</b>	
	<b>Supreme Court</b>	
1.	<i>Delhi Development Authority v. Bhola Nath Sharma (Dead thr LRs) (¶¶25,29,32, 42-44)</i>	(2011) 2 SCC 54
<b>I.</b>	<b>Principles for Awarding Compensation</b>	
	<b>Supreme Court</b>	
1.	<i>Sajan v. State of Maharashtra and Ors. (¶¶13,17-21)</i>	Civil Appeal Nos. 2170-2171 of 2020 Dated: 17.03.2020
2.	<i>Namedeo Shankar Govardhane(Dead) thr. LRs v State of Maharashtra (¶28)</i>	(2019) 8 SCC 56
3.	<i>UddarGaganPropertievs. Sant Singh (¶¶ 5, 11, 19, 20-21)</i>	AIR 2016 SC 2526
4.	<i>Collector of Land Acquisition v. Andaman Timber Industries (¶¶ 13, 15)</i>	(2016) 4 SCC 406
5.	<i>Reliance Fincapv. Union of India (¶¶ 3, 4, 5)</i>	(2015) 8 SCC 544
6.	<i>Pune Municipal Corp. v.HarakchandMisirimalSolanki(¶¶ 2, 8, 12-20)</i>	(2014) 3 SCC 183
7.	<i>Mehrawal Khewaji Trust v. State of Punjab (¶¶ 15-18)</i>	(2012) 5 SCC 432
8.	<i>Narmada BachaoAndolanv. State of Madhya Pradesh (¶¶ 44-48, 83)</i>	(2011) 7 SCC 639
	<b>Delhi High Court</b>	
1.	<i>Bhola Nath Sharma v. Union of India (¶¶ 55, 63-107)</i>	229 (2016) DLT 142
2.	<i>Kishan Chand Sharma v. Chet Ram Sharma (¶¶ 28-31)</i>	2016 VIII AD ( Delhi) 308



3.	<i>Inspiration Engineer v. Union of India</i> (¶¶ 7)	228 (2016) DLT 481
4.	<i>Mahender Kumar v. Land Acquisition Collector</i> (¶¶ 3, 10, 12-13)	2006 (90) DRJ 240
5.	<i>Delhi Electricity Supply Undertaking v. Bhimandas Ambwani</i> (¶¶ 27, 47, 52)	2002 (63) DRJ 429
	<b>Other High Courts</b>	
1.	<i>Brij Gopal v. State of Haryana</i> (¶¶ 7)(P&H)	2006 (3) RCR (Civil) 388
<b>J.</b>	<b>Apportionment of Compensation</b>	
	<b>Supreme Court</b>	
1.	<i>Shri Ambya Kalya Mhatre (Dead) Through Legal Heirs &amp; Others v. The State of Maharashtra</i> (¶¶ 13-15, 20)	2011 (10) SCALE 296
2.	<i>Mehr Rusi Dalal v. Union of India</i> (¶¶ 19-22)	AIR 2004 SC 3491
	<b>Delhi High Court</b>	
1.	<i>Mehr Gaon Sabha Kakrolav Sarbati</i> (¶¶ 17, 21-22, 24, 27)	(2009) ILR 1 (Delhi) 695
2.	<i>Government of NCT of Delhi v. Veermati</i> (¶¶ 3, 6)	2012 (128) DRJ 446
3.	<i>T.N. Vohra &amp; Others v. Government of NCT of Delhi</i> (¶¶ 18-19)	2012 (131) DRJ 435
<b>K.</b>	<b>Distribution of Compensation</b>	
	<b>Supreme Court</b>	
1.	<i>Ningappa Thotappa Angadi (Dead) through LRs. v. The Special Land Acquisition Officer</i> (¶¶ 8-11)	2019 SCC OnLine SC 1611
2.	<i>Collector of Land Acquisition v. Andaman Timber Industries</i> (¶¶ 11, 13, 15)	(2016) 4 SCC 406
3.	<i>Lt Governor of Delhi v. Matwal Chand &amp; Others</i> (¶¶ 13, 15)	(2015) 15 SCC 576
	<b>Delhi High Court</b>	
1.	<i>Rajesh Kumar v. Gaon Sabha, Mundka</i> (¶¶ 3-6)	2016 SCC Online Del 5301
2.	<i>Bhola Nath Sharma v. Union of India</i> (¶¶ 55, 63-72, 96, 97, 100-107, 124)	229 (2016) DLT 142
	<b>Other High Courts</b>	
1.	<i>R. Rangarajan v. The District Collector, Tiruvellore District</i> (¶¶ 18, 20-21, 25)	2017 (4) CTC 265 (Mad.)



<b>L.</b>	<b>Interest on Solatium</b>	
	<b>Supreme Court</b>	
1.	<i>Union of India v Tarsem Singh</i> (¶¶7-24)	(2019) 9 SCC 304
2.	<i>Sunita Mehra and Ors. v. Union of India (UOI) and Ors.</i> (2,7)	C.A. No. 10553 of 2011 Dated:11.08.2016
3.	<i>Defence Estate Officers v.Syed Abdul Salim</i> (¶¶ 4-6)	(2015) 12 SCC 294
4.	<i>State of Punjab v.Amarjit Singh &amp;Another</i> (¶¶3-8)	(2011) 4 SCC 734
5.	<i>Sagunthala(Dead) throughLRsv.SpecialTehsildar</i> (¶¶28, 40)	(2010) 3 SCC 661
6.	<i>R.L. Jain (D) by Lrs. v. DDA and Ors.</i> (¶12)	(2004 )4SCC 79
7.	<i>Sunder v Union of India</i> (¶¶2-6, 21-26)	AIR 2001 SC 3516
	<b>Delhi High Court</b>	
1.	<i>Bhola Nath Sharma v UOI</i> ( ¶¶ 55, 101-103, 105-106, 126)	229 (2016) DLT 142
	<b>Other High Courts</b>	
1.	<i>Young Lai Association(YLA) v. Union of India</i> (¶¶4-5, 6-16)	2017 (3) GLT 382 (Gau)
<b>M.</b>	<b>Enhancement of Compensation Amount in Appeal</b>	
	<b>Delhi High Court</b>	
1.	<i>Mahant Atma Ram Chela Mahant Sita Ram v Union of India</i>	2001 SCC OnLine Del 960
<b>N.</b>	<b>Re-determination of the Amount of Compensation on the Basis of the Award of the Court (Section 28A)</b>	
1.	<i>M/S. Model Economic Township Ltd v. Land Acquisition Collector</i> (¶10)	SLP(C)No.618 of 2018 Dated: February 26, 2019
<b>O.</b>	<b>Taking of Physical Possession</b>	
	<b>Supreme Court</b>	
1.	<i>Om Parkash v Amar Singh</i> (¶¶10-14)	(2019) 10 SCC 136
2.	<i>State of Haryanav.Sunder Lal</i> (¶¶8-9)	2019 SCC OnLine SC 916
3.	<i>Magnum Promoters Private Limited v. UOI</i> (¶¶ 7, 11, 18-19)	(2015) 3 SCC 327



	<b>Delhi High Court</b>	
1.	<i>Kathuria Public School v. Union of India</i> (¶¶ 10-11, 15, 19)	(2012) ILR I (Delhi) 652
	<b>Other High Court</b>	
1.	<i>K.M. Chikkathayamma &amp; Others v The State of Karnataka &amp; Others</i> (¶¶ 4-5, 18, 25)	ILR 2016 Kar 1603

## Day- 2

### A. Relevant Statutes

S. No.	Title
1.	Code of Civil Procedure, 1908
2.	Constitution of India, 1950
3.	Court Fees Act, 1870
4.	Indian Evidence Act, 1872
5.	Specific Relief Act, 1963
6.	Limitation Act, 1963
7.	Suit Valuation Act, 1887
8.	Transfer of Property Act, 1882
9.	The Indian Stamp Act, 1899
10.	The Indian Succession Act, 1925

### B. Rules

S. No.	Title	Source
1.	Practice in the Trial of Civil Suits, Chapter 1, Volume I, Delhi High Court Rules	RULES\CHAPTER 1 - Practice in Trial of Civil Court.PDF
2.	Witnesses – Civil Courts , Chapter 5, Volume I, Delhi High Court Rules	RULES\CHAPTER 5 Witnesses—Civil Courts.PDF
3.	CHAPTER X-A Evidence on Commission at Courts Discretion	<a href="http://delhihighcourt.nic.in/writereaddata/upload/CourtRules/CourtRuleFile_0AW339DN.PDF">http://delhihighcourt.nic.in/writereaddata/upload/CourtRules/CourtRuleFile_0AW339DN.PDF</a>
4.	Judgment and Decrees , Chapter 11, Volume I, Delhi High Court Rules	RULES\CHAPTER 11 - Judgment and Decrees.PDF



5.	Execution of Decrees, Chapter 12, Volume I, Delhi High Court Rules	RULES\CHAPTER 12 -Execution of Decrees.PDF
6.	Court-fees and Stamps , Chapter 4 , Volume IV, Delhi High Court Rules	RULES\CHAPTER 4 - Court-fees and Stamps.PDF
7.	Admissions, Denials, Framing of Issues and Examination of Parties , Chapter 8, Part B, Delhi High Court Rules	RULES\CHAPTER 8 - Admissions, Denials, Framing of Issues and Examination of Parties.PDF

### C. Law Commission Reports

S. No.	Title	Source
1.	257 <sup>th</sup> Report on Reforms in Guardianship and Custody Laws in India (May, 2015)	<a href="http://lawcommissionofindia.nic.in/reports/Report%20No.257%20Custody%20Laws.pdf">http://lawcommissionofindia.nic.in/reports/Report%20No.257%20Custody%20Laws.pdf</a>
2.	240 <sup>th</sup> Report on Costs in Civil Litigation (May, 2012)	<a href="http://lawcommissionofindia.nic.in/reports/report240.pdf">http://lawcommissionofindia.nic.in/reports/report240.pdf</a>
3.	178 <sup>th</sup> Report on Recommendations For Amending Various Enactments, Both Civil And Criminal (December, 2001)	<a href="http://lawcommissionofindia.nic.in/reports/178rpt1.pdf">http://lawcommissionofindia.nic.in/reports/178rpt1.pdf</a>
4.	163 <sup>rd</sup> Report on The Code of Civil Procedure Bill, 1997 (November, 1996)	<a href="http://lawcommissionofindia.nic.in/101-169/Report163.pdf">http://lawcommissionofindia.nic.in/101-169/Report163.pdf</a>
5.	144 <sup>th</sup> Report on Conflicting Judicial Decisions Pertaining to The Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (April, 1992)	<a href="http://lawcommissionofindia.nic.in/101-169/Report144.pdf">http://lawcommissionofindia.nic.in/101-169/Report144.pdf</a>
6.	54 <sup>th</sup> Report on the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (February, 1973)	<a href="http://lawcommissionofindia.nic.in/51-100/Report54.pdf">http://lawcommissionofindia.nic.in/51-100/Report54.pdf</a>
7.	27 <sup>th</sup> Report on the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (December, 1964)	<a href="http://lawcommissionofindia.nic.in/1-50/Report27.pdf">http://lawcommissionofindia.nic.in/1-50/Report27.pdf</a>

### D. Circular

S. No.	Title	Source
1.	Temporarily Suspend Physical Service Of Summons and Documents, Delivery Through Email And WhatsApp Will Be Preferred- High Court of Delhi	<a href="http://delhihighcourt.nic.in/writereaddata/Upload/PublicNotices/PublicNotice_DRMG217T7AG.PDF">http://delhihighcourt.nic.in/writereaddata/Upload/PublicNotices/PublicNotice_DRMG217T7AG.PDF</a>
2.	Office order regarding online filing system and other important direction regarding	<a href="http://delhihighcourt.nic.in/writereaddata/Upload/PublicNotices/PublicNotice_7ZU2RMC">http://delhihighcourt.nic.in/writereaddata/Upload/PublicNotices/PublicNotice_7ZU2RMC</a>



filing-High Court of Delhi	<a href="#">KBLG.PDF</a>
----------------------------	--------------------------

## E. Case Laws

### Pre-Trial Issues

S.No.	Title	Citation
<b>A.</b>	<b>Suit for Possession</b>	
	<b>Delhi High Court</b>	
1.	<i>Rita Ghosh v. Rama Tiwari</i> (¶¶5-8)	C. R. P. 49/2020 Dated 13.03.2020
2.	<i>Ajay Kumar and Ors. v. Harka Bahadur Gurung</i> (¶34,37)	RSA No. 163/2018 Decided On: 27.11.2018
3.	<i>Kuljit Singh and Ors. vs. Govt. of N.C.T. of Delhi and Ors.</i> (¶42,74,77)	W.P. (C) 12377/2005
4.	<i>Thomas Cook (India) Limited vs. Hotel Imperial and Ors.</i> (¶¶25-28)	127 (2006) DLT 431
5.	<i>Rattan Lal vs. Municipal Corporation of Delhi</i> (¶16)	100 (2002) DLT 213
<b>B.</b>	<b>Suit for Perpetual Injunction</b>	
	<b>Supreme Court</b>	
1.	<i>Jose v. Johnson</i> (¶¶13-14)	Civil Appeal No. 1892 of 2020 Dated: 02.03.2020
2.	<i>Ravinder Kaur Grewal and Ors. v. Manjit Kaur and Ors.</i> (¶¶48-61)	AIR 2019 SC 3827
3.	<i>Union of India (UOI) v. Vijay Krishna Uniyal (D) through L.Rs.</i> (¶¶34,35,39)	(2018) 11 SCC 382
4.	<i>Velayudhan and Ors. vs. Mohammedkutty and Ors.</i> (¶¶13-20)	AIR 2017 SC 2098
5.	<i>Agnigundala Venkata Ranga Rao v. Indukuru Ramachandra Reddy (Dead) by L.Rs. and Ors.</i>	AIR 2017 SC 2042
6.	<i>Maria Margarida Sequeria Fernandes and Ors. vs. Erasmo Jack de Sequeria (Dead)</i> (¶¶62-77)	AIR 2012 SC 1727
7.	<i>Anathula Sudhakar vS. P. Buchi Reddy (Dead) by LRs. and Ors.</i> (¶17)	AIR 2008 SC 2033
8.	<i>Chairman Tamil Nadu Housing Board Madras v. T N Ganapathy</i> (¶2,4,7)	AIR1990SC642
	<b>High Court of Delhi</b>	
1.	<i>Gauri Shankar vs. Rakesh Kumar and Ors.</i> (¶45)	RSA 146/2005 Decided On: 09.05.2020
2.	<i>Delhi Development Authority and Ors. vs. Pushpa Lata and Ors.</i> (¶34-35)	RSA No. 2/2012 Decided On: 30.04.2020





3.	<i>VickramBahl and Ors. vs. Siddhartha Bahl and Ors. (¶38)</i>	CS(OS) 78/2016 Decided On: 25.04.2020
4.	<i>Keller Williams Realty, Inc. vs. Dingle Buildcons Pvt. Ltd. and Ors. (¶12)</i>	CS (COMM) 74/2019 Decided On: 17.04.2020
5.	<i>UnilinBeheer B.V. vs. Balaji Action Buildwell</i>	CS (COMM) 1683/2016 Decided On: 15.05.2019
<b>C.</b>	<b>Summary suit under Order XXXVII</b>	
	<b>Supreme Court</b>	
1.	<i>IDBI Trsuteeship Service Ltd. vs. Hubtown ltd (¶9)</i>	(2017) 1 SCC 568
2.	<i>Southern Sales and Services and Ors. vs. Sauermilch Design and Handels GMBH (¶8)</i>	AIR 2009 SC 320
3.	<i>Neebha Kapoor vs. JayantilalKhandwala and Ors. (¶¶8-9)</i>	AIR 2008 SC 1117
4.	<i>Defiance Knitting Industries Pvt Ltd v. Jay Arts(¶15)</i>	(2006)8SCC25
5.	<i>Rajni Kumar vs. Suresh Kumar Malhotra and Ors. (¶10)</i>	AIR 2003 SC 1322
6.	<i>Oil &amp; Natural Gas Corporation Ltd. (ONGC) v. State Bank of India, Overseas Branch, Bombay(¶12)</i>	AIR 2000 SC 2548
7.	<i>Sunil Enterprises and Ors. vs. SBI Commercial and International Bank Ltd. (¶4)</i>	AIR 1998 SC 2317
8.	<i>Raj Duggal v. Ramesh Kumar Bansal(¶2-3)</i>	AIR 1990 SC 2218
9.	<i>Mechelec Engineers &amp; Manufacturers vs.. Basic Equipment Corporation (¶8)</i>	AIR 1977 SC 577
10.	<i>Milkhiram (India) (P) Ltd. v. Chamanlal Bros(¶1-5)</i>	AIR1965 SC 1698
11.	<i>Santosh Kumar vs. Bhai Mool Singh (¶12)</i>	AIR 1958 SC 321
	<b>High Court of Delhi</b>	
1.	<i>Chetan Singh vs. R.C. Chadda(¶9,13)</i>	CS (OS) 56/2019Decided On: 20.03.2020
2.	<i>S.S. Thapar vs. L.R. Verma (¶5,7)</i>	CM (M) 880/2019, CAV 587/2019 and CM Appl. 26281-26282/2019 Decided On: 28.05.2019
3.	<i>M.D. Overseas Ltd. vs. Uma Shankar Kamal Narain and Ors.</i>	AIR 2006 Delhi 361
4.	<i>Skylark Motors (India) &amp;Ors.vs. Lakshim Commercial Bank Ltd.</i>	AIR 1997 Delhi 46
<b>D.</b>	<b>Service of Summons by Electronic means</b>	
i.	<b><u>By Email</u></b>	
	<b>Supreme Court</b>	
1.	<i>Indian Bank Association &amp;Ors vs Union Of India &amp;Anr(¶2)</i>	(2014) 5 SCC 590
2.	<i>Central Electricity Regulatory Commission Vs. National Hydroelectric Power Corporation Ltd. (¶2)</i>	(2010 )10SCC 280
	<b>Delhi High Court</b>	



1.	<i>Tata Sons Limited &amp;Ors vs John Doe (s)</i> (¶3)	MANU/DE/1235/2017
	<b>Other High Courts</b>	
1.	<i>Ksl and Industries Ltd., v.Mannalal Khandelwal and the State of Maharashtra</i> (¶40)	2007(107(3))BomLR108
ii.	<b><u>By Whatsapp /Text Message</u></b>	
	<b>Delhi High Court</b>	
1.	<i>Tata Sons Limited &amp;Ors vs John Doe (s)</i> (¶3)	MANU/DE/1235/2017
<b>E.</b>	<b>Pleadings</b>	
	<b>Supreme Court</b>	
1.	<i>A. Shanmugam vs.Ariya Kshatriya RajakulaVamsathuMadalayaNandhavanaParipalanai Sangam Represented by Its President and Ors. (¶¶26-29,32, 43.1-43.5)</i>	AIR 2012 SC 2010
2.	<i>Maria Margarida Sequeria Fernandes and Ors. vs. Erasmo Jack de Sequeria (Dead) (¶61)</i>	AIR 2012 SC 1727
i.	<b><u>Rejection of plaint</u></b>	
	<b>Supreme Court</b>	
1.	<i>Madhav Prasad Aggarwal and Ors. vs. Axis Bank Ltd. and Ors. (¶11)</i>	MANU/SC/0878/2019
2.	<i>Sejal Glass Ltd. vs. Navilan Merchants Pvt. Ltd.</i> (¶5)	AIR2017SC4477
3.	<i>SopanSukhdeo Sable and Ors. v. Assistant Charity Commissioner and Ors. (¶20)</i>	AIR 2004 SC 1801
4.	<i>Saleem Bhai and Ors. vs. State of Maharashtra and Ors.</i> (¶9)	AIR 2003 SC 759
ii.	<b><u>Written-statement</u></b>	
	<b>Supreme Court</b>	
1.	<i>Kailash vs. Nankhu and Ors</i> (¶¶22,32,33,46)	AIR2005SC2441
iii.	<b><u>Amendment of pleadings</u></b>	
	<b>Supreme Court</b>	
1.	<i>M. Revanna v. Anjanamma (Dead) By Lrs. &amp;Ors. (¶5)</i>	AIR2019SC940
2.	<i>Revajeetu Builders and Developers vs. Narayanaswamy and Sons and Ors. (¶¶67-68)</i>	( 2009 ) 10 SCC 84
3.	<i>Ganesh Trading Co vs. Moji Ram</i> (¶¶4-5)	1978 AIR 484
4.	<i>Sampath Kumar vs. Ayyakannu and Ors. (¶¶9-10)</i>	AIR 2002 SC 3369
<b>F.</b>	<b>Impleadment of parties</b>	
	<b>Supreme Court</b>	
1.	<i>Vidur Impex and Traders Pvt. Ltd. and Ors. vs. Tosh Apartments Pvt. Ltd. and Ors. (¶36)</i>	AIR 2012 SC 2925
2.	<i>Mumbai International Airport Pvt. Ltd. vs. Regency Convention</i>	AIR 2010 SC 3109



	<i>Centre and Hotels Pvt. Ltd. and Ors.</i> (¶8)	
3.	<i>Kasturi Vs. Uyyamperumal&amp;Ors</i> (¶¶6,10)	AIR 2005 SC 2813
4.	<i>Anil Kumar Singh v. Shivnath Mishra</i> (¶7)	(1995) 3 SCC 147
5.	<i>Ramesh HirachandKundanmal v. Municipal Corporation of Greater Bombay</i> (¶6)	(1992) 2 SCC 524
<b>G.</b>	<b>Examination of Parties</b>	
	<b>Supreme Court</b>	
1.	<i>KapilCorepacksPrivate Limited &amp;Othersv.ShriHarbansLal (since deceased) throughLRs</i> (¶¶ 9-14, 17)	(2015) 10 SCC 241
2.	<i>A. Shanmugam v. Ariya Kshatriya RajakulaVamsathuMadalayaNandhavanaParipalanai Sangam Represented by Its President and Ors.</i> (¶¶30-32)	AIR 2012 SC 2010
3.	<i>Vikas Agarwalv.Anubha</i> (¶ 12)	(2002) 4 SCC 468
<b>H.</b>	<b>Framing of Issues</b>	
	<b>Supreme Court</b>	
1.	<i>Kuldeep Singh Pathania v. Bikram Singh Jaryal</i> (¶¶6-9)	AIR 2017 SC 593
2.	<i>Makhan Lal Bangal v. Manas Bhunia and Ors.</i> (¶19)	AIR 2001 SC 490
3.	<i>S.S. Khanna v. F.J. Dillon</i> (¶21)	AIR 1964 SC497
	<b>High Court of Delhi</b>	
1.	<i>Tata Communications Limited v. Union of India</i> (¶9)	2018 SCC OnLine Del 7434
<b>I.</b>	<b>Preliminary Issue</b>	
	<b>Supreme Court</b>	
1.	<i>SattiParadesi Samadhi v. M. Sankuntala</i> (¶19)	(2015) 5 SCC 674
2.	<i>Rameshwari Devi and Ors. v. Nirmala Devi and Ors.</i> (¶¶52-54)	(2011) 8 SCC 249
3.	<i>Ramesh B. Desai and Ors. v. Bipin Vadilal Mehta and Ors.</i> (¶12)	AIR 2006 SC 3672
4.	<i>S.S. Khanna v.F.J. Dillon</i> (¶21)	AIR1964 SC 497
<b>J.</b>	<b>Exhibiting of documents</b>	
	<b>Pre-Independence cases</b>	
1.	<i>Baldeo Sahaiv. Ram Chander&amp;Ors</i>	AIR 1931 Lahore 546
	<b>Supreme Court</b>	
1.	<i>SaitTarajeeKhimchand and Ors. v..Yelamarti Satyam and Ors.</i> (¶27)	AIR 1971 SC 1865



	<b>High Court of Delhi</b>	
1.	<i>Sudir Engineering Company v. Nitco Roadways Ltd.</i> (¶¶9,13,14,86)	1995 II AD (Delhi)189
2.	<i>UnilinBeheer B.V. v. Balaji Action Buildwell</i> (¶¶27,31)	MANU/DE/1650/2019
<b>K.</b>	<b>Interim/temporary Injunction</b>	
	<b>Supreme Court</b>	
1.	<i>Ambalal Sarabhai Enterprise Ltd. v. KS Infraspace Llp</i> (¶16,23)	Civil Appeal No. 9346/2019 Decided on 06.01.2020
2.	<i>U.C. Surendranath vs. Mambally's Bakery</i> (¶7)	AIR2019SC3799
3.	<i>Saketa Vaksana LLP &amp; Anr. v.Kaukutla Sarala &amp; Ors.</i> (¶20)	Civil Appeal No. 9483/2019 Decided on 17.12.2019
4.	<i>Mohd. Mehtab Khan. v. Khushnuma Ibrahim</i> (¶13)	AIR 2013 SC 1099
5.	<i>Maria Margarida Sequeria Fernandes v. Erasmo Jack de Sequeria (Dead)</i> (¶¶83-86)	AIR 2012 SC 1727
6.	<i>Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Ltd. v. Sriman Narayan and Ors.</i>	AIR 2002 SC 2598
7.	<i>Gujarat Bottling Company Ltd.v.Coca Cola Company</i> (¶¶46-47)	AIR 1995 SC 2372
8.	<i>Dalpat Kumar v. Prahlad Singh</i> (¶¶ 13, 14)	(1992) 1 SCC 719
9.	<i>Wander Ltd. v. Antox India Private Ltd.</i> (¶¶10, 11)	1990 (Supp) SCC 727
	<b>High Court of Delhi</b>	
1.	<i>Paul Sales Pvt. Ltd. vs. Hari Darshan Sevashram Pvt. Ltd.</i>	CS (COMM) 732/2017, Decided On: 16.03.2020
2.	<i>Swift Initiative Pvt. Ltd. vs. DilipChhabria Design Pvt. Ltd.</i> (¶¶8-12)	O.M.P. (I) 454/2015, I.A. Nos. 17627, 19315 & 19316/2015 Decided On: 19.10.2015
<b>L.</b>	<b>Anti-Suit Injunction</b>	
	<b>Supreme Court</b>	
1.	<i>Modi Entertainment Network and Ors. v. W.S.G. Cricket PTE. Ltd.</i> (¶¶33-34)	AIR 2003 SC 1177
	<b>High Court of Delhi</b>	
1.	<i>M/S Indiabulls Real Estate Ltd. v. M/S Veritas Investment Research Corporation &amp;Ors.</i> (¶¶33-34)	2019 SCC OnLine Del 8294



	<b>Foreign Judgments</b>	
1.	<i>John Reginald Stott Kirkham and others v. Trane US Inc and others</i> (Singapore Supreme Court)	[2009] 4 SLR(R) 428 at [44]
<b>M.</b>	<b>Adverse possession</b>	
	<b>Supreme Court</b>	
1.	<i>Uttam Chand (D) through L.Rs. v. Nathu Ram (D) through L.Rs. and Ors.</i> (¶¶11,15)	2020 (1) RCR (Civil) 721
2.	<i>Vidya Devi v. The State of Himachal Pradesh and Other</i> (¶10)	(2020)2SCC569
3.	<i>M. Siddiq (D) thr. L.Rs. vs. Mahant Suresh Das and Ors</i> (¶13)	(2020)1SCC1
4.	<i>Ravinder Kaur Grewal and Ors. v. Manjit Kaur and Ors.</i> (¶¶59, 60)	AIR 2019 SC 3827
5.	<i>Brijesh Kumar and Ors. v. Shardabai (Dead) by L.Rs. and Ors.</i> (¶13)	(2019) 9 SCC 369
6.	<i>Dagadabai (Dead) by L.Rs. v. Abbas</i> (¶21)	( 2017 ) 13 SCC 705
7.	<i>M. Venkatesh and Ors. v. Commissioner, Bangalore Development Authority and Ors.</i> (¶¶14-18)	(2015) 17 SCC 1
8.	<i>ChattiKonati Rao &amp;Ors v.Palle Venkata SubbaRao</i> (¶12)	(2010) 14 SCC 316
9.	<i>Annakili v. A. Vedanayagam&amp;Ors</i> (¶22)	(2007) 14 SCC 308
10.	<i>T. Anjanappa&amp;Ors. v. Somalingappa&amp;Anr.</i> (¶¶9-14)	(2006) 7 SCC 570
11.	<i>Karnataka Board of Wakf v. Government of India and Ors.</i> (¶11)	(2004) 10 SCC 779.

## F. Issues of Trial

S. No.	Title	Citation
<b>A.</b>	<b>Admissibility vs. Relevancy</b>	
	<b>Supreme Court</b>	
1.	<i>AnvarP.V vs P.K.Basheer&amp;Ors</i> (¶22)	AIR 2015 SC 180
2.	<i>State (NCT of Delhi) v. Navjot Sandhu</i> (¶150)	AIR 2005 SC 3820
3.	<i>Pooran Mal v Director of Inspection</i> (¶25)	AIR 1974 SC 348
4.	<i>State (NCT of Delhi) v. Navjot Sandhu alias AfsanGuru</i> (¶150)	AIR 2005 SC 3820
5.	<i>Ram Bihari Yadav vs State Of Bihar &amp;Ors</i> (¶6)	AIR1998SC1850
	<b>Other High Courts</b>	
1.	<i>Bharat R. Desai and Ors. vs. Naina Mohanlal Bhal</i> (¶¶4-6)	AIR 2005 BOM 38
<b>B.</b>	<b>Adjournments</b>	
	<b>Supreme Court</b>	
1.	<i>Salem Advocate Bar Association vs. Union of India</i> (¶¶29-31)	AIR 2005 SC 3353
<b>C.</b>	<b>Exhibiting of documents</b>	



	<b>Pre-Independence cases</b>	
1.	<i>Baldeo Sahai VS. Ram Chander&amp;Ors</i>	AIR 1931 Lahore 546
	<b>Supreme Court</b>	
1.	<i>SaitTarajeeKhimchand and Ors. vs. Yelamarti Satyam and Ors. (¶27)</i>	AIR 1971 SC 1865
	<b>High Court of Delhi</b>	
1.	<i>Sudir Engineering Company vs. Nitco Roadways Ltd. (¶¶9,13,14,86)</i>	1995 II AD (Delhi)189
<b>D.</b>	<b>Recording of evidence</b>	
	<b>Supreme Court</b>	
1.	<i>Salem Advocate Bar Association vs. Union of India (UOI) (¶¶17-19)</i>	AIR 2003 SC 189
2.	<i>Ameer Trading Corp. vs. SDP Ltd (¶¶17-21,35)</i>	2004(1) SCC 702
	<b>Other High Courts</b>	
1.	<i>SanjDainikLokopchar v. GokulchandGovindlalSananda (¶¶10-13)</i>	2018 SCCOnLine Bom 3336
2.	<i>Rita Pandit Vs. Atul Pandit (¶17)</i>	AIR 2005 AP 253
3.	<i>Amitabh Bagchi Vs. Ena Bagchi(¶10)</i>	2005 AIR (Calcutta) 11
4.	<i>Twentieth Century Fox Film Corporation vs. NRI Film Production Associates (P) Ltd (¶¶7,10)</i>	AIR 2003 Kar 148
<b>E.</b>	<b>Recalling of Witnesses</b>	
	<b>Supreme Court</b>	
1.	<i>Gayathri vs. M. Girish(¶5)</i>	AIR 2016 SC 3559
2.	<i>K.K. Velusamy vs. N. Palanisamy (¶¶8-12,18)</i>	(2011) 11 SCC 275
3.	<i>VadirajNaggapaVernekar (D) Through Lrs. vs. Sharad Chand Prabhakar Gogate (¶¶16-17)</i>	AIR 2009 SC 1604
<b>F.</b>	<b>Appreciation of Evidence</b>	
	<b>Supreme Court</b>	
1.	<i>Narbada Devi Gupta v. Birendra Kr. Jaiswal(¶19)</i>	AIR 2004 SC 175
2.	<i>R.V.E. VenkatachalaGounder vs. ArulmiguViswesaraswami and V.P. Temple and Ors. (¶28)</i>	AIR 2003 SC 4548
3.	<i>SaitTarajeev..Khimchand Vs. Yelamarti Satyam (¶17)</i>	AIR 1971 SC 1865
	<b>Other High Courts</b>	
1.	<i>Smt. Sudha Agarwal v.. VII ADJ, Ghaziabad(¶6)</i>	2006 (63) ALR 659 (Allahabad)
<b>G.</b>	<b>Burden of Proof vs. Onus of Proof</b>	



	<b>Supreme Court</b>	
1.	<i>AddagadaRaghavamma and Ors. vs. AddagadaChenchamma and Ors. . (¶15)</i>	AIR 1964 SC 136
<b>H.</b>	<b>Commission to examine witnesses</b>	
	<b>Supreme Court</b>	
1.	<i>Salem Advocate Bar Association vs. Union of India(¶¶5-9)</i>	AIR 2005 SC 3353
2.	<i>Salem Advocate Bar Association vs. Union of India (¶19)</i>	AIR 2003 SC 189
	<b>High Court of Delhi</b>	
1.	<i>Fashion Linkers vs. Savitri Devi(¶¶15,16,19,20,21)</i>	(1996) ILR 2 Delhi 80
	<b>Other High Courts</b>	
1.	<i>S.Balasubramanian vs M.Chandira(¶6)</i>	MANU/TN/4480/2018 (Mad)
2.	<i>Bharat R. Desai and Ors. vs. Naina Mohanlal Bhal(¶6)</i>	AIR 2005 Bom 38
3.	<i>OrugunatiRanganayakamma vs. MaduriLakshminarasamma and another(¶3)</i>	AIR 1979 AP 8
4.	<i>Jaya Shanker Mills (Barsi) Ltd. vs. Zakaria Hazi Ebrahim (¶¶9-10)</i>	AIR 1962 AP 435
<b>I.</b>	<b>Appointment of Local Commissioner</b>	
	<b>Supreme Court</b>	
1.	<i>Haryana Waqf Board vs. Shanti Sarup and Ors. (¶2)</i>	( 2008 ) 8 SCC 671
2.	<i>Padam Sen and Ors. vs. The State of Uttar Pradesh (¶¶7-12)</i>	AIR 1961 SC 218
	<b>High Court of Delhi</b>	
1.	<i>Shanti Devi vs. Jai Bhagwan and Ors. (¶¶7,13)</i>	MANU/DE/0104/2017
	<b>Other High Courts</b>	
1.	<i>Sarala Jain vs Sangu Gangadhar (¶¶19,21)</i>	2016 (3 )ALD 197 (AP)
2.	<i>Devadoss vs. A. Duraisingh(¶8)</i>	MANU/TN/1763/2002
<b>J.</b>	<b>Admissibility of document</b>	
	<b>Supreme Court</b>	
1.	<i>Shalimar Chemical Works vs Surendra Oil(¶7)</i>	(2010) 8 SCC 423
2.	<i>Sonu vs. State of Haryana (¶¶27,32)</i>	(AIR 2017 SC 3441)
3.	<i>NandkishoreLalbbhai Mehta v. New Era Fabrics Private Limited &amp; Others (¶¶ 10, 12)</i>	AIR 2015 SC 3796
4.	<i>Anvar P.V. v. P.K. Basheer (¶22)</i>	(2014) 10 SCC 473
5.	<i>Salem Advocate Bar Association, Tamil Nadu v. UOI</i>	AIR2005SC 3353,
6.	<i>RVE VenkatachalaGounder v. ArulmiguViswesaraswami(¶¶ 20-22)</i>	AIR 2003 SC 4548
7.	<i>Bipin Shantilal vs State of Gujarat(¶¶13-15)</i>	AIR2001SC1158



8.	<i>Ram Rattan v. Bajrang Lal</i> (¶ 6)	AIR 1978 SC 1973
9.	<i>Javer Chand and ors. vs. Pukhraj Surana</i> (¶ 7)	AIR 1961 SC 1655
	<b>High Court of Delhi</b>	
1.	<i>Doctor Morepen v. Poysha Power Generation</i> (¶¶ 4-6)	2013 (137) DRJ 261
2.	<i>Sudir Engineering Company v. Nitco Roadways</i> (¶¶ 12-16)	1995 II AD (Delhi) 189

#### 4. FALSE CLAIM and SUIT FOR RECOVERY OF THE SECURITY DEPOSIT

<b>A.</b>	<b>Section 209, Criminal Procedure Code, 1973</b>	
	<b>High Court of Delhi</b>	
1.	<i>M/S Bhandari Engineers &amp; Builders Pvt Ltd v M/S Maharia Raj Joint Venture &amp; Ors</i> (¶¶ 37-42)	EX.P. 275/2012 Dated: 05.08.2020
2.	<i>H.S. Bedi v. National Highway Authority of India</i> (¶¶ 7, 16, 16.2-16.3, 16.8)	227 (2016) DLT 129
<b>B.</b>	<b>Section 340, Criminal Procedure Code, 1973</b>	
	<b>High Court of Delhi</b>	
1.	<i>Sanjeev Kumar Mittal v. The State</i> (¶¶ 6-14)	174 (2010) DLT 214

#### 5. EXECUTION

<b>K.</b>	<b>Attachment before Judgment</b>	
	<b>Supreme Court</b>	
1.	<i>Raman Tech. and Process Engg. Co. v. Solanki Traders</i> (¶¶ 5, 6)	(2008) 2 SCC 302
	<b>High Court of Delhi</b>	
1.	<i>Manganese Ore (India) Ltd., Nagpur v. Mangilal Rungta, Calcutta</i> (¶¶ 9-12)	AIR 1981 Delhi 114
	<b>Other High Courts</b>	
1.	<i>Shanthi Engineering Works and Ors. vs. T.K.K.N.N. Vysya Charities</i> (¶¶ 39-51) (Madras)	C.M.P. No. 3066 of 2018 Dated: 25.04.2018
2.	<i>V. Gopi v. Bhaskaran and Ors.</i> (¶ 22)	2015 (3) KLT 835 (Kerala)
3.	<i>Sunil Kakrania and Ors. v. Saltee Infrastructure Ltd. and Ors.</i> (¶¶ 24-27)	AIR 2009 Cal 260
4.	<i>Premraj Mundra v. Md. Maneck Gazi and Ors.</i> (¶ 10)	AIR 1951 Cal 156





<b>L.</b>	<b>Execution</b>	
	<b>Supreme Court</b>	
1.	<i>Sir Sobha Singh &amp; Sons Pvt. Ltd. v. Shashi Mohan Kapur</i> (¶¶30-32)	2019 (9) SCALE 369
2.	<i>S. Bhaskaran v. Sebastian (Dead) by L.Rs. and Ors.</i> (¶9)	Civil Appeal No. 7800 of 2014 Dated: 13.09.2019
3.	<i>West Bengal Essential Commodities Supply Corporation vs. Swadesh Agro Farming and Storage Pvt. Ltd. and Ors.</i> (¶19)	AIR 1999 SC 3421
	<b>Delhi High Court</b>	
1.	<i>Bhandari Engineers and Builders Pvt. Ltd. vs. Maharia Raj Joint Venture and Ors.</i> (¶¶45-55)	MANU/DE/4601/2019
2.	<i>H.S. Bedi vs. National Highway Authority of India</i> (¶¶6.1-6.5)	227 (2016) DLT 129
3.	<i>Sanjeev Kumar Mittal vs. The State</i> (¶¶10-11)	174 (2010) DLT 214
<b>M.</b>	<b>Preliminary Decree versus Final Decree</b>	
	<b>Supreme Court</b>	
1.	<i>Shub Karan Bubnav Saran Bubna</i> (¶¶12-14)	(2009) 9 SCC 689
2.	<i>Phoolchand and Ors. v. Gopal Lal</i> (¶7)	AIR 1967 SC 1470
<b>N.</b>	<b>Preliminary Decree and Final Decree in Partition Suits</b>	
	<b>Supreme Court</b>	
1.	<i>GanduriKoteschwarammavChakiriYanadi</i> (¶¶20)	AIR 2012 SC 169
2.	<i>Bimal Kumar v Shakuntala Debi</i> (¶27)	(2012) 3 SCC 548
3.	<i>PremavNasZanje Gowda</i> (¶¶ 11-20)	(2011) 6 SCC 462
4.	<i>BikobaDeora Gaikwad vHirabaiMarutiraoGhorgare</i> (¶¶ 9-14)	(2008) 8 SCC 198
5.	<i>Hasham Abbas Sayyad v Usman Abbas Sayyad</i> (¶8)	(2007) 2 SCC 355
6.	<i>Shankar Balwant Lokhandev Shankar Lokhande</i> (¶¶ 4,8)	(1995) 3 SCC 413
<b>O.</b>	<b>No Limitation Period for the Execution of Preliminary Decree for Partition.</b>	
	<b>Supreme Court</b>	



1.	<i>Venu v. PonnusamyReddiar (Dead) thr. L.Rs. and Ors.</i> (¶¶6-8)	AIR 2017 SC 2447
<b>P.</b>	<b>Resistance to Execution of Decree</b>	
1.	<i>Brahmdeo Choudhary v Rishikesh Prasad Jaiswal</i> (¶5)	AIR 1997 SC 856
<b>Q.</b>	<b>Suits for Partition and Possession</b>	
	<b>Supreme Court</b>	
1.	<i>Nagabhushanammal v. C. Chandikeswaralingam</i> (¶¶18-20)	AIR 2016 SC 1134
2.	<i>Saheb Khan vMohd. Yusufuddin&amp; Others</i> (¶¶10-12)	(2006) 4 SCC 476
	<b>Delhi High Court</b>	
1.	<i>Rita Ghosh v. Rama Tiwari</i> (¶¶5-8)	C. R. P. 49/2020 Dated 13.03.2020
2.	<i>Ramesh DuttSalwanv Shiv DuttSalwan</i> (¶¶ 24-27)	2016 (158) DRJ 601
	<b>Other High Courts</b>	
1.	<i>Antony v Joseph &amp; Others</i> (¶¶ 8-15, 17)(Ker.)	2010 (2) KLJ 508
<b>R.</b>	<b>Resistance to Delivery of Possession and Grant of Police Aid</b>	
	<b>Supreme Court</b>	
1.	<i>Brahmdeo Chaudhary, Advocate v Rishikesh Prasad Jaiswal</i> (¶¶ 4-6)	AIR 1997 SC 856
	<b>Delhi High Court</b>	
1.	<i>Prem Singh v. GirdhariDhara</i> (¶¶27-32)	CM (M) 1244/2018 Dated: 19.03.2020
2.	<i>SRM Infracon Private Limited &amp; Others v A.N. Elegant Hospitality &amp; Others</i> (¶¶13-18)	237 (2017) DLT 243
	<b>Other High Courts</b>	
1.	<i>Anjali Das &amp; Others v Sri Samar Roy &amp; Others</i> (¶¶ 7-8, 12-13)	2010 SCC Online Cal 1265
2.	<i>ShrimatiRatnabai , Advocate w/o Narayanrao Naik &amp; Another v Shri Satwarao s/o Narayanrao Naik</i> (¶¶ 5, 7-8)	AIR 1995 Bom 61
<b>S.</b>	<b>Execution of Foreign Court Decree (Section 44A, Sections 13 &amp;14)</b>	



	<b>Supreme Court</b>	
1.	<i>Alcon Electronics Pvt. Ltd. v. Celem S.A. of FOS 34320 Roujan, France and Ors.</i> (¶¶13-15, 21)	AIR 2017 SC 1
2.	<i>M/s. International Woolen Mills v. M/s. Standard Wool (U.K.) Ltd.</i> (¶30)	AIR 2001 SC 2134
<b>T.</b>	<b>Limitation For Execution Of Foreign Decree</b>	
	<b>Supreme Court</b>	
1.	<i>Bank of Baroda v. Kotak Mahindra Bank Ltd.</i> (¶¶ 19,35,39,43)	CA No. 2175 of 2020 Dated: 17.03.2020
<b>U.</b>	<b>Guidelines for Executing Courts</b>	
	<b>High Court of Delhi</b>	
1.	<i>Kusum Sharma v Mahinder Kumar Sharma</i>	FAO 369/1996 Dated: 06.05.2020
2.	<i>M/S Bhandari Engineers &amp; Builders Pvt Ltd v M/S Maharia Raj Joint Venture &amp; Ors</i> (¶¶64-84)	EX.P. 275/2012 Dated: 05.08.2020
3.	<i>M/s Bhandari Engineers &amp; Builders v. M/s You One Maharia (Jv) Delhi &amp; Ors</i> (¶¶57-66)	EX.P. 275/2012 Dated: 05.12.2019
4.	<i>Bhandari Engineers &amp; Builders Pvt. Ltd. v. Maharia Raj Joint Venture</i>	(2016) 227 DLT 302

## G. Appellate Proceedings

S. No.	Title	Citation
<b>A.</b>	<b>Appeals against Original Decrees and Orders</b>	
	<b>Supreme Court</b>	
1.	<i>C. Venkata Swamy v. H.N. Shivamma (Dead) by LR</i> (¶¶11-20)	(2018) 1 SCC 604
2.	<i>Neerja Realtors v. Janglu</i> (¶16)	(2018) III AD SC 29
3.	<i>Alcon Electronics Private Limited v.Celem S.A. of FOS 34320 Roujan, France &amp; Others</i> (¶¶ 12, 15-17, 21)	AIR 2017 SC 1
4.	<i>Union of India v. K.V. Lakshman &amp; Others</i> (¶¶ 22-31)	(2016) 13 SCC 124
5.	<i>Mangluram Dewangan v. Surendra Singh &amp; Others</i> (¶¶ 7-8)	(2011) 12 SC 773
6.	<i>Arundhati alias Harshana v. Iranna alias Veerandra</i> (¶5)	(2008) 3 SC 181
7.	<i>Bachahan Devi and Ors. vs. Nagar Nigam, Gorakhpur and Ors.</i> (¶10)	AIR 2008 SC 1282
8.	<i>Ramachandra Sakham Mahajan v. Damodar Trimbak Tansale (dead)</i> (¶14)	(2007) 6 SCC 737
9.	<i>Delhi, U.P. Madhya Pradesh Transport co. v. New India insurance</i> (¶5)	(2006) 9 SCC 213
10.	<i>P.S. Sathappan (Dead) by Lrs. vs. Andhra Bank Ltd.</i>	AIR 2004 SC 5152



11.	<i>Santosh Hazari Vs. Purushottam Tiwari (Dead) by Lrs.</i> (¶16)	MANU/SC/0091/2001
12.	<i>M/S International Woolen Mills v. M/S Standard Wool(U.K.) Limited</i> (¶¶ 13-14, 16-33)	AIR 2001 SC 2134
	<b>Delhi High Court</b>	
1.	<i>A.R. Nimv. Vishwamitra</i> (¶¶ 9-10)	178 (2011) DLT 450
	<b>Other High Courts</b>	
1.	<i>Nagen Chandra Das and Ors. v. Abhijit Deb and Ors.</i> (¶15) (Gauhati High Court)	MANU/GH/0496/2017
2.	<i>Nemi Chand Gangwal v. Suresh Kumar Jain (Bakliwal)</i> (¶9) (Gauhati High Court)	MANU/GH/0351/2014
3.	<i>Hemendra Dutta Choudhury and Anr. v. Arun Kumar Bordoloi and Ors.</i> (Gauhati High Court)	MANU/GH/0143/1987
	<b>B. Production of Additional Evidence</b>	
	<b>Supreme Court</b>	
1.	<i>A. AndiasamyChettiarv. A. SubburajChettiar</i> (¶¶ 11-19)	AIR 2016 SC 79
2.	<i>Surjit Singh &amp; Others v. Gurwant Kaur &amp; Others</i> (¶¶ 12, 17-20)	(2015) 1 SCC 665
3.	<i>Lekhraj Bansal v. State of Rajasthan &amp; Another</i> (¶¶ 6-7)	2014 (3) SCALE 80
4.	<i>Shalimar Chemical Works v. Surendra Oil</i> (¶¶ 7-9, 11)	(2010) 8 SCC 423
5.	<i>Mahavir Singh &amp; Others v. Naresh Chandra &amp; Another</i> (¶ 5)	(2001) 1 SCC 309
6.	<i>K. Venkataramiah vs. A. Seetharama Reddy and Ors.</i> ((¶¶13,16,18)	AIR 1963 SC 1526
	<b>High Court of Delhi</b>	
1.	<i>Lahorian Di Hatti v. Shyam Lal Meher Chand Jain Huf</i> (¶ 2, 13)	214(2014)DLT431
	<b>C. Dismissal in Limineof appeal</b>	
	<b>Supreme Court</b>	
1.	<i>Kalinga Mining Corporation v. Union of India (UOI) and Ors.</i>	(2013)5SCC252
2.	<i>UP Avas Evam Vikas Parishadv. SheoNarainKushwaha&amp;Others</i> (¶¶5-7)	(2011) 6 SCC 456
	<b>High Court of Delhi</b>	
1.	<i>Hari Singh v. S. Seth</i> (¶¶ 7, 8, 11)	AIR 1996 Del 21
	<b>Other High Court</b>	
1.	<i>Sham Rajendra Agrawal v. Ghanshyam Hajarilal Sharma</i>	2007(4)BOMCR330



<b>D.</b>	<b>Review</b>	
	<b>Supreme Court</b>	
1.	<i>Pradeep Kumar Maskarav. State of West Bengal</i> (¶ 28)	(2015) 2 SCC 653
2.	<i>Haryana State Industrial Development Corporation Limited &amp; Others Etcv.Mawasi&amp; Others Etc</i> (¶¶ 9-19)	AIR 2013 SC 3874
3.	<i>The State of West Bengal &amp; Others v. Kamal Sengupta</i> (¶¶ 9-27)	(2008) 8 SCC 612
4.	<i>A.V. Papayya Sastry &amp; Others v. Government of A.P. &amp; Others</i> (¶¶ 25, 28-29, 31)	(2007) 4 SCC 221
5.	<i>Board of Control for Cricket, India and Ors. vs. Netaji Cricket Club and Ors.</i> ((¶90)	AIR2005SC592
6.	<i>Lily Thomas v. Union of India</i> (¶¶ 53-56, 58)	(2000) 6 SCC 224
<b>E.</b>	<b>Maintainability of Review &amp; Appeal dismissed <i>in limine</i></b>	
1.	<i>Kunhayammed and Ors. vs. State of Kerala and Ors.</i> (¶¶35-38)	AIR2000SC2587
2.	<i>Thungabhadra Industries Ltd. vs. The Government of Andhra Pradesh</i> (¶¶14-15)	AIR1964SC1372
	<b>Delhi High Court</b>	
1.	<i>A.V. DTC v. Delhi Administration Others</i> (¶ 15)	2016 III AD (Del) 258
2.	<i>M/s KalakankarInvestment(Private) Limited &amp; Others v. Menlo Consultant India Private Limited &amp; Others</i> (¶¶ 18, 24)	2013 SCC Online Del 4186
3.	<i>Sarla Devi Jain v. Union of India</i> (¶¶ 3-5)	121 (2005) DLT 426
<b>F.</b>	<b>Revision</b>	
	<b>Supreme Court</b>	
1.	<i>Nawab Shaqafath Ali Khan &amp; Others v. Nawab Imdad Jah Bahadur &amp; Others</i> (¶¶ 52-53)	(2009) 5 SCC 162
2.	<i>Shiv Shakti Coop. Housing Society, Nagpur vs. Swaraj Developers and Ors.</i> (¶32)	AIR 2003 SC 2434
3.	<i>ITI Limited v. Siemens Public Communications Network Limited</i> (¶¶ 8, 13, 18-22)	(2002) 5 SCC 510
4.	<i>Dhurandhar Prasad Singh v. Jai Prakash University &amp; Others</i> (¶ 23)	(2001) 6 SCC 534
5.	<i>S.S. Khanna vs. F.J. Dillon</i> ((¶13)	AIR 1964 SC 497
6.	<i>Kiran Singh and Ors. vs. Chaman Paswan and Ors.</i> (¶ 6)	AIR 1954 SC 340

## H. PracticeDirections by HMJ Pratibha M. Singh

S. N	Suit Title	Suit No.	Date of Decision	Direction(s)
------	------------	----------	------------------	--------------

0.				
1.	<i>Amrish Agarwal v. Venus Home Appliances Pvt. Ltd.</i>	CM (M) 1059/18	27.08.19	The TC's order, taking on record the Legal Proceedings Certificate relating to the trademark, was challenged on the ground that evidence had already concluded and the matter was at the stage of final arguments. The Court observed that in a trademark infringement matter, it is imperative for the Court to be able to see that the trademark itself is registered. <u>Directions were therefore passed, specifying the documents, such as the Legal Proceedings Certificate, which ought to be filed along with the plaint in trade mark infringement matters. (Para 7)</u>
2.	<i>Lalit Kumar v. M/s Samsonite IP Holding SARL</i>	CM (M) 737/19	29.08.19	The TC's order, appointing an LC to seize the alleged counterfeit products, was challenged on the ground that the name of Defendant No. 2 was mentioned as 'Ashok Kumar'. The reason given for not specifying the name of the Defendant was that the Plaintiff was unaware of the name of the Defendant's proprietor. In order to ensure that such grievances are not raised in the future, <u>a direction was given that if the name of the proprietor of the Defendant or any other entity is not known, the trading name shall appear in the memo of parties as the name of the Defendant. (Para 7)</u>
3.	<i>Satender Singh Rana &amp; Ors. v. Gaon Sabha Kadipur &amp; Anr.</i>	CM (M) 1017/19	29.08.19	In view of the fact that several adjournments were taking place due to non-receipt or non-supply of copies of complete pleadings and documents, <u>directions were passed in respect of service of copies of documents/pleadings between counsels and court clerks. (Para 3)</u>
4.	<i>BVG India Ltd. v. Navin Saini</i>	CM (M) 1311/19	04.09.19	In this case, a handwritten notation had caused confusion as to whether only the dispute in the suit was settled or whether all the disputes arising under the agreements between the parties were settled. <u>It was observed that the court which recorded the settlement ought to have perused the report and clarified the same</u>

				<p><u>prior to disposing of the suit. Direction was given to Mediators to not allow any handwritten notations or words, in the Mediation/settlement agreements, especially if the same are not countersigned/initialed by the Mediator himself. (Para's 6&amp;7)</u></p>
5.	<i>PSA Nitrogen Ltd. v. Maeda Corporation</i>	CM (M) 4/19	17.09.19	<p>The TC's order, rejecting the Plaintiff's application for condonation of delay in filing the written statement to the Defendant's' counterclaim, was challenged on the ground that the counter-claim had not been registered. The Court clarified that a counter-claim is governed by the same rules as applicable to plaints under the Commercial Courts Act. Hence, it was observed that every counter-claim must be registered before summons can be issued and the time for filing the written statement runs from the date of service of summons. <u>Directions were given prescribing the procedure to be followed for registration of counter-claims to ensure that there is no ambiguity in the timelines for filing of the written statement to the counter-claim. (Para 11)</u></p>
6.	<i>Rajesh Aggarwal v. Om Prakash &amp; Anr.</i>	CM(M) 768/19	17.09.19	<p>The TC's order, permitting an amendment to the plaint, was challenged on the ground that it was highly belated. The Court <u>observed that at the stage of final arguments the TC ought not to permit amendments in the plaint. (Para's 4&amp;5)</u></p>
7.	<i>M/s Prakash Oil Corporation &amp; Anr. v. BrijKishan</i>	CM (M) 1002/18	19.09.19	<p>The question before the Court was whether the admissibility and mode of proof of an exhibited document is to be decided at the final stage or during the trial itself - at the time when the exhibit mark is being put on the document. The Court observed that the mere marking of a document as an exhibit does not amount to it being proved. Several judgments were relied on to clarify <u>the procedure to be adopted in the case of exhibition of documents. Finally, it was observed that</u></p>

				<p><u>“allowing objections regarding exhibited documents to be captured in the statement of the witness and permitting cross examination to be conducted without prejudice to the objections raised, would strike the right balance between ensuring that the trial is not protracted and that the rights of the party are also not jeopardized”.</u> (Para’s 10-14)</p>
8.	<i>Veena Gupta v. Bajaj Allianz Life Insurance Co. Ltd.</i>	CM (M) 1555/19	30.10.19	<p>The TC’s order, imposing costs on the Plaintiff for non-appearance, was challenged on the ground that the Junior Counsel’s appearance had wrongly been recorded as ‘Proxy Counsel’, due to which the Plaintiff was considered as having not appeared. <u>The Court issued directions clarifying when the term “Proxy Counsel” ought to be used.</u>(Para 6)</p>
9.	<i>Zile Singh v. Santosh@Santra&amp;Ors.</i>	CM (M) 1296/18	06.11.19	<p>This petition challenged an order of the TC by which the evidence of a witness was directed to not be read, as no affidavit had been filed on their behalf and the evidence of another witness, who was present in Court, was not recorded, on the ground that the list of witnesses was not filed. Insofar as the second witness was concerned, the Court held that <u>“once witnesses are summoned and are present before the Court, with notice to the opposite party, the usual procedure ought to be to record their evidence rather than sending them back”</u>. As regards the first witness, it was found that a <u>non-existent affidavit had been exhibited</u>. In view of these discrepancies in the recordal of evidence, <u>directions were passed, specifying the manner in which evidence must be recorded.</u> (Para 14)</p>
10 .	<i>Ganga Ram v. Shekhar Kumar</i>	CM (M) 1656/19	22.11.19	<p>Two grievances were raised in this petition. The first was that the TC had accepted the Plaintiff’s witness’ evidence by way of affidavit without the Plaintiff having filed a list of witnesses. The second was in relation to the de-exhibition of exhibited documents. With respect to the first grievance, while an</p>



				exception was made in this case, it was observed that <u>parties ought not to be permitted to commence evidence without having filed a list of witnesses</u> . As regards the second grievance, it was observed that <u>the mere exhibition of a document does not amount to it being proved</u> . <b>(Para's 5-8)</b>
11 .	<i>Harwant Singh v. Govind Singh</i>	CM(M) 1662/19	22.11.19	In this case, the written statement was taken on record, subject to costs. A demand draft was made out in the name of the Plaintiff, however, the same was not accepted on the ground that the costs were to be tendered in the name of the Plaintiff's counsel. On the Plaintiff's claim that costs had not been tendered, the written statement was taken off the record and additional costs were imposed on the Plaintiff. Setting aside this order, the Court held that - <u>"Whenever orders are passed imposing costs on parties, unless there is any specific person in whose favour the costs are directed to be paid, it is understood that costs are to be in the name of the party in whose favour the costs are being awarded."</u> <b>(Para 5)</b>
12 .	<i>Krishan Kumar v. Shanti Devi&amp;Anr.</i>	CM(M) 1694/19	29.11.19	The grievance in this petition was that the TC had settled the issues and also directed affidavits-in-evidence to be filed, without waiting for the Plaintiff's to file their replication. After passing certain directions, specific to the case at hand, the Court observed that – <u>"In order to avoid unnecessary delays and complexities, by framing issues prior to filing of replication, it is directed that in future, Trial Courts shall ensure that if permission for replication is being granted, issues shall be framed only after the replication is filed."</u> <b>(Para 4)</b>
13 .	<i>Sarvesh Bisaria v. Anand Nirog Dham Hospital Pvt. Ltd.</i>	CM (M) 1787/19	17.12.19	In this case, though the suit had been filed under Order XXXVII CPC, summons were not issued under the said order. Instead, a template order had been passed which simply set out various portions of the CPC, did not record

				any satisfaction under Order XXXVII CPC and required the Defendant to file his written statement within 30 days of the receipt of summons. It was held that – <u>“Whenever summons are issued, the Court has to be conscious of the provision under which the summons is to be issued. The summons in a summary suit is completely different from the summons in Form No.2, for settlement of issues in an ordinary suit. Clearly, the Court has not taken into consideration that the suit was filed under Order XXXVII CPC and the Plaintiff’s grievance is thus completely justified.”(Para 10)</u>
14 .	<i>ICICI v. Naveen Kalkal; ICICI v. Priya Baveja; ICICI v. Deepanshu Bansal &amp;Anr. &amp; Connected Matters</i>	CM (M) 1821/2019; CM (M) 16/2020; CM (M) 18/2020	23.12.19 ; 13.01.2020; 13.01.2020	These petitions concerned applications seeking permission to sell hypothecated vehicles. In all these cases, though possession of the vehicle had been taken by the Receiver, inordinate delay was being caused in the grant of permission for sale of the vehicle, leading to additional expenditure for maintenance etc. In view of this, as well as the fact that the value of the vehicle deteriorates as time passes by, the Court directed the TC to expeditiously consider such applications. The <u>directions, as to the manner in which cases of vehicle loans are to be dealt with</u> , as passed by a Id. Single Judge of the Delhi High Court in <i>M/s. ICICI Bank Ltd. v. Kamal Kumar Garewal, [FAO 49/2015, decided on 29th May, 2015]</i> , were reiterated and public auction was permitted in all the cases. ( <u>Naveen Kalkal: Para’s 6-9; Priya Baveja: Para’s 9-12; Deepanshu Bansal: Para’s 5-8</u> )

15	<i>YN Gupta (Deceased thr. LRs) v. MA Ramzana</i>	CM (M) 1827/19	24.12.19	In this case, the matter had been heard and adjourned on more than 10 hearings, with the order-sheet showing the matter as being either 'part-heard' or listed 'for orders'. The Court held that it was impermissible to repeatedly adjourn cases for orders and observed that the same " <u>reflects extremely poorly on the Court system</u> " and that " <u>Repeated hearing of arguments also increases the litigation costs for litigants, as they have to incur expenses for legal representation, etc., Such a practice would alsomake access to justice unaffordable.</u> "(Para's 18-20)
----	---------------------------------------------------	-------------------	----------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

### Suggested Readings

S. No.	Title	Author	Source
<b>A. Grant of Probate and Letters of Administration</b>			
1.	Application for Probate or Letters of Administration- Court Fee Payable	Justice S.A Kader	(2004) 2 LW (JS) 17
<b>Land Acquisition Matters</b>			
1.	Notes and Comments: 'Partners in Development' Under the New Land Acquisition Law: A Misnomer	AmitaPunj, Associate Professor, National Law University, Delhi.	59 JILI (2017) 153
2.	Development and Participation Under the New Land Acquisition Legislation: A Paradigm Shift or A Safety Valve	AmitaPunj, Associate Professor, National Law University, Delhi.	9 RMLNLUJ (2017) 42
3.	Holdout Problem and Private Takings in India	Khagesh Gautam, Associate Professor of Law, OP Jindal Global University, Sonapat	2 CALQ (2017) 7
4.	The Land Acquisition Policy in India With Special Reference to Property Rights: An Analysis	Hashmat Ali Khan, Assistant Professor, Department of Law, AMU, Aligarh.	23 ALJ (2015-16) 303



**DISCLAIMER:** All Articles suggested herein above contain the views of the concerned author only.